

Natural Antioxidant–Cryoprotectant Synergism for Improving the Structural Stability of Frozen Wheat Dough

Salome Marcos

School of Computing, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA.
salomem@clemson.edu

Jose Ignacio Torrijos

Department of Computer Science, Binghamton University, Binghamton, NY, USA.
joseignacio.work@binghamton.edu

Romulo Riba

Department of Computer Science, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA.
romuloriba602@uab.edu

Abstract

Frozen wheat dough is a critical intermediate in industrial baking, food service, and distributed food supply systems, yet its structural stability remains vulnerable to ice recrystallization, gluten network disruption, yeast inactivation, and repeated temperature abuse. This paper examines natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism as a system-level strategy for improving the structural stability of frozen wheat dough. Rather than treating antioxidants and cryoprotectants as separate additives, the analysis frames their combination as an architectural intervention within a socio-technical materials system that spans formulation, processing, cold-chain logistics, quality governance, and sustainability. The paper first conceptualizes frozen dough as an infrastructure-dependent material whose performance is shaped by molecular, mesoscale, and institutional factors. It then reviews the mechanisms by which natural antioxidants may mitigate oxidative stress and cryoprotectants may suppress ice-induced damage, emphasizing possible synergistic effects on gluten hydration, starch–water redistribution, and glassy-state behavior. The discussion then considers structural trade-offs in formulation, including dough strengthening versus extensibility, freeze tolerance versus fermentation activity, and clean-label expectations versus functional reliability. Subsequent sections analyze cold-chain robustness, deployment constraints, quality standards, policy implications, and fairness concerns in global food systems. The paper argues that antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism should be evaluated not only through rheological and baking tests but also through governance, infrastructure resilience, and environmental sustainability. Future research should integrate molecular design, process control, digital traceability, and inclusive innovation pathways to translate laboratory findings into dependable frozen dough systems.

Keywords

frozen wheat dough; natural antioxidants; cryoprotectants; structural stability; cold-chain systems; food infrastructure; governance; sustainability; robustness; fairness.

1. Introduction

Frozen wheat dough occupies a pivotal position in contemporary food systems because it enables centralized production, delayed baking, and flexible distribution across retail, hospitality, and institutional food service. Its appeal lies in the separation of dough preparation from final baking, which reduces labor demands, improves scheduling, and supports product standardization. However, the same separation introduces a complex dependency on cold-chain infrastructure, formulation architecture, and quality governance. The structural stability of frozen dough is therefore not merely a material property but an outcome of interacting molecular, engineering, logistical, and policy decisions. When dough is frozen, water undergoes phase transitions that can mechanically damage gluten networks and disrupt starch–water interactions, while prolonged storage can promote oxidative reactions and yeast viability loss. These changes affect gas retention, dough extensibility, loaf volume, crumb structure, and consumer acceptance. Consequently, improving frozen dough stability requires interventions that operate across scales, from the hydration shell of proteins to the governance of refrigerated distribution networks.

Natural antioxidants and cryoprotectants have often been studied as separate classes of additives. Antioxidants are primarily associated with limiting lipid oxidation, scavenging free radicals, and modulating redox-sensitive protein changes, whereas cryoprotectants are associated with reducing ice crystal damage, preserving cell viability, and stabilizing macromolecular structures during freezing and frozen storage. Yet growing evidence suggests that their combination may produce synergistic effects that neither class achieves alone. The conceptual promise of natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism lies in the possibility of simultaneously addressing oxidative and cryogenic stresses, which often coincide in frozen dough systems. For example, plant-derived polyphenols may interact with gluten proteins through noncovalent associations, modify water mobility, and reduce oxidative cleavage, while polyols, polysaccharides, or protein hydrolysates may alter ice nucleation and recrystallization behavior. Such interactions may improve dough strength, fermentation tolerance, and baking performance under realistic storage conditions. This paper develops a system-level analysis of that synergy, connecting molecular mechanisms with formulation trade-offs, cold-chain robustness, governance, and sustainability.

The central argument is that natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism should be understood as an infrastructural strategy rather than a simple additive combination. Frozen dough is embedded in production facilities, transportation networks, retail freezers, and household storage practices, each of which introduces variability. A formulation that performs well under controlled laboratory freezing may fail when subjected to temperature fluctuations, delayed distribution, or repeated freeze–thaw exposure [1]. Therefore, the design of synergistic additive systems must account for material behavior, process architecture, deployment conditions, and institutional incentives. This paper moves from mechanistic discussion to system-level implications, with attention to robustness, fairness, and policy.

2. Frozen Wheat Dough as a Socio-Technical Materials System

Frozen wheat dough can be productively analyzed as a socio-technical materials system. At the material level, it consists of gluten proteins, starch granules, water, yeast, salt, enzymes, lipids, and minor components whose interactions determine viscoelasticity and gas retention. At the process level, it depends on mixing, dividing, shaping, freezing rate, storage temperature, thawing regime, and baking conditions. At the infrastructure level, it relies on refrigerated warehouses, transport vehicles, retail display freezers, and domestic freezer reliability. At the governance level, it is shaped by food safety regulations, labeling rules,

additive approval pathways, quality standards, and sustainability expectations. These layers are not independent. A change in additive formulation can alter freezing tolerance, which in turn changes acceptable storage windows, distribution costs, and waste rates. Conversely, a policy decision about clean-label ingredients can constrain the cryoprotectants available to manufacturers, shifting the burden to process control or supply-chain precision [3].

Infrastructure studies emphasize that systems become visible mainly when they break down [18]. Frozen dough illustrates this dynamic because consumers and retailers often notice cold-chain failure only when products collapse, stale rapidly, or bake inconsistently. The apparent simplicity of a frozen dough ball conceals a dense arrangement of standards, sensors, refrigeration assets, and organizational routines. Robustness therefore depends on redundancy and monitoring, but also on formulation choices that tolerate imperfect conditions. Natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism can be interpreted as a form of material-level resilience that reduces sensitivity to infrastructural variability. If a dough formulation can better withstand temperature fluctuations, it may lower the consequences of minor cold-chain interruptions and reduce the need for overly conservative freezing or storage specifications.

This socio-technical framing also highlights trade-offs between standardization and adaptability. Industrial frozen dough systems prioritize consistency, cost efficiency, and scale, which favor standardized formulations and tightly controlled processes. However, regional supply chains, energy constraints, and consumer preferences vary widely. A formulation optimized for one infrastructure context may not suit another. For example, high-sugar or high-polyol cryoprotectant systems may perform well in high-throughput bakeries but raise labeling or glycemic concerns in other markets. Natural antioxidants sourced from local agricultural by-products may offer sustainability advantages but introduce seasonal variability and supply-chain complexity. These considerations position antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism within broader debates about socio-technical transitions, where technological change interacts with institutions, markets, and user practices [19].

3. Molecular and Mesoscale Mechanisms of Synergistic Stabilization

The structural stability of frozen wheat dough depends on the behavior of water and biopolymers during freezing, frozen storage, and thawing. As temperature decreases, water separates into ice crystals, concentrating solutes in unfrozen regions and altering ionic strength, pH, and osmotic pressure. Ice crystals can physically disrupt gluten strands, starch granules, and yeast cells, while repeated temperature fluctuations promote recrystallization and further mechanical damage [4]. Cryoprotectants can mitigate these effects by depressing the freezing point, increasing unfrozen water viscosity, promoting vitrification, or interacting directly with proteins and membranes. Polyols, sugars, polysaccharides, and certain amino acids or peptides are well-recognized cryoprotectants because they stabilize macromolecular structures and reduce ice-induced injury [15], [16]. Vitrification, or the formation of a glassy matrix, can immobilize reactants and limit ice growth, thereby preserving structure over storage time [17].

Natural antioxidants contribute a different but potentially complementary mode of protection. Frozen dough contains lipids and proteins that are susceptible to oxidative changes during storage, especially when oxygen is present and cellular compartments are disrupted. Antioxidant compounds can scavenge free radicals, chelate transition metals, and interrupt chain reactions that lead to lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation [11], [12]. Polyphenols, flavonoids, phenolic acids, and other plant-derived antioxidants may also interact with gluten proteins through hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions, and electrostatic associations.

Such interactions can alter dough rheology, sometimes strengthening the network and sometimes interfering with gluten development depending on concentration and molecular structure. The balance between antioxidant protection and structural modification is therefore critical. At low concentrations, antioxidants may reduce oxidative damage and improve network integrity; at high concentrations, they may disrupt gluten aggregation or inhibit yeast activity [13], [14].

Synergism between antioxidants and cryoprotectants may arise through several interconnected pathways. First, cryoprotectants can preserve the native-like environment of proteins, making them less vulnerable to oxidative modification. By maintaining hydration shells and limiting ice-induced unfolding, cryoprotectants may reduce the exposure of hydrophobic regions and reactive amino acid residues. Second, antioxidants can protect cryoprotectant molecules themselves from oxidative degradation, especially when those molecules are polysaccharides, peptides, or unsaturated lipids. Third, certain natural compounds may possess both antioxidant and cryoprotective properties. For instance, polysaccharide fractions can form viscous networks, modulate ice crystal growth, and scavenge radicals. A recent study of a polysaccharide fraction from *Phyllanthus emblica* reports a cryoprotective sol-gel transition relevant to frozen dough applications [10]. This example illustrates how a single natural material may bridge antioxidant and cryoprotective functions, though the system-level implications depend on dose, processing, and storage context.

At the mesoscale, synergistic stabilization may influence the distribution of water among gluten, starch, and yeast phases. Gluten proteins require sufficient hydration to form a cohesive viscoelastic network, but excessive free water can promote large ice crystals. Cryoprotectants can reduce free water content by binding water or increasing viscosity, while antioxidants may alter protein surface properties and water mobility. Starch retrogradation and amylose–lipid complexation can also be affected by additives and storage temperature. The combined result may be a dough matrix that retains gas cells more effectively, resists collapse during freezing, and recovers extensibility after thawing. However, these mesoscale effects are difficult to predict from molecular properties alone. They depend on formulation architecture, mixing energy, freezing rate, and the thermal history of the product.

4. Formulation Architecture and Structural Trade-offs

Formulation architecture refers to the deliberate arrangement of ingredients, additive interactions, and processing parameters to achieve target functionality. In frozen dough, this architecture must balance multiple objectives: dough machinability, freeze tolerance, fermentation capacity, baking volume, crumb softness, flavor, clean-label appeal, cost, and regulatory compliance. Natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism adds another layer because the same compound may improve one property while undermining another. For example, a polyphenol-rich extract may reduce oxidative damage but also bind to gluten proteins and inhibit yeast metabolism. A polysaccharide cryoprotectant may limit ice recrystallization but increase dough viscosity and reduce gas expansion. The design problem is therefore not to maximize any single additive function but to identify combinations that produce acceptable trade-offs across the entire production and consumption system.

One major trade-off concerns dough strength versus extensibility. Frozen storage often weakens gluten networks, reducing gas retention and loaf volume. Antioxidants that promote protein cross-linking or disulfide bond formation may partially restore strength, but excessive cross-linking can reduce extensibility and impair shaping or proofing. Cryoprotectants that

preserve protein structure may help maintain a balanced network, but high concentrations can dilute gluten or interfere with hydration. The optimal combination may depend on wheat flour quality, protein content, and processing method. Strong flours may tolerate higher antioxidant activity, while weak flours may benefit more from cryoprotective stabilization. This variability suggests that synergistic formulations cannot be universal; they must be adapted to raw material specifications and end-product requirements.

Another trade-off involves freeze tolerance versus fermentation activity. Yeast cells are sensitive to ice damage and osmotic stress, and their viability affects proofing time and gas production. Cryoprotectants can protect yeast membranes and intracellular structures, but some antioxidants may inhibit microbial activity. Natural antimicrobial compounds, often present in plant extracts, may reduce spoilage risk but also suppress desired fermentation. Striking a balance requires careful selection of antioxidant sources and concentrations, potentially using encapsulated or targeted delivery systems that release active compounds at specific stages. The architectural challenge is to protect yeast during freezing while allowing sufficient activity during thawing and proofing.

Clean-label expectations add further complexity. Consumers and retailers increasingly favor ingredients perceived as natural, recognizable, and minimally processed. Natural antioxidants and cryoprotectants align with this preference, but naturalness does not guarantee safety, efficacy, or sustainability. Some natural extracts have strong flavors, colors, or allergenic potential. Supply chains for botanical ingredients may be vulnerable to climate variability, labor conditions, and quality inconsistency. Formulation architecture must therefore consider not only functional performance but also sensory neutrality, regulatory status, traceability, and ethical sourcing. In system terms, the additive combination is a component within a larger product architecture that includes packaging, labeling, and consumer communication. Failure to align these elements can undermine adoption even when technical performance is strong [20].

5. Process, Cold-Chain, and Deployment Robustness

The performance of natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism cannot be separated from process and cold-chain conditions. Freezing rate influences ice crystal size and location; rapid freezing generally produces smaller crystals and less structural damage, while slow freezing promotes larger crystals and greater disruption. Frozen storage temperature and duration determine the extent of recrystallization, oxidative reactions, and yeast inactivation. Thawing rate affects water redistribution and dough recovery. A synergistic additive system may extend tolerance to these variations, but it cannot eliminate the need for reliable refrigeration. Robustness therefore depends on both material resilience and infrastructural reliability.

Cold-chain deployment varies significantly across regions and market segments. In high-income urban settings, frozen dough may move through automated warehouses, refrigerated trucks, and monitored retail freezers. In other contexts, electricity interruptions, inadequate insulation, and delayed transport are common. Formulations intended for global markets must consider these disparities. A dough that requires strict temperature control may be unsuitable for regions with weak cold-chain infrastructure. Conversely, a more robust formulation could expand access to frozen dough products and reduce waste in underserved areas. This aligns with broader questions of fairness and technological justice, where innovations should not only serve well-resourced supply chains but also improve food availability and quality in diverse settings [20].

Digital technologies can support deployment robustness through temperature monitoring, predictive analytics, and traceability. Sensors embedded in packaging or logistics systems can record thermal history and identify deviations. When combined with formulation models, such data could help predict remaining shelf life or baking performance. However, digital infrastructure introduces its own dependencies, including connectivity, data standards, cybersecurity, and cost. Smaller bakeries and local producers may lack the resources to implement sophisticated monitoring. The governance challenge is to design standards and incentives that make robustness-enhancing technologies accessible without reinforcing concentration among large firms. Open standards, shared logistics platforms, and public research support may help distribute the benefits of innovation more equitably.

At the process level, scale-up remains a persistent challenge. Laboratory experiments often use small dough samples, controlled freezing, and short storage periods. Industrial production involves large batches, mechanical stress, varying freezer loads, and extended distribution. Synergistic additive effects observed in bench-scale rheology may not translate directly to factory conditions. For example, shear during mixing and dividing can alter protein–polyphenol interactions, while freezing in large masses creates temperature gradients. Pilot-scale testing and in-plant validation are essential. The architecture of validation should include accelerated shelf-life studies, temperature-abuse scenarios, and sensory evaluation after realistic thawing and baking. Without such system-level testing, antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism remains a promising but unproven formulation strategy.

6. Governance, Standards, and Quality Assurance

Governance shapes which additive strategies are permissible, how they are labeled, and what claims can be made. Natural antioxidants and cryoprotectants may fall under different regulatory categories depending on their source, function, and intended use. Some are considered food additives, others processing aids, and others ingredients with nutritional or technological functions. Regulatory frameworks vary across jurisdictions, creating complexity for international manufacturers. A formulation that is acceptable in one market may require reformulation or relabeling in another. This regulatory fragmentation can slow innovation and increase costs, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises. Harmonized standards, based on robust scientific evidence, could reduce barriers while maintaining safety and transparency.

Quality assurance systems must also evolve to evaluate synergistic effects. Traditional tests for frozen dough include farinograph, extensograph, alveograph, dynamic rheometry, fermentation monitoring, and baking trials. These methods provide valuable information, but they may not capture the coupled oxidative and cryogenic mechanisms that define antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergy. Additional techniques such as confocal microscopy, differential scanning calorimetry, nuclear magnetic resonance, and electron spin resonance can reveal ice crystal morphology, water mobility, glass transitions, and radical activity [4], [17]. Integrating these measurements into quality systems requires investment in instrumentation and expertise. For industry, the challenge is to develop rapid, cost-effective indicators that correlate with end-product quality.

Governance also involves standards for sustainability and ethical sourcing. Natural antioxidants are often derived from plants, agricultural by-products, or food waste streams. While this can support circular economy goals, it can also create new pressures on land, water, and labor. Certification schemes, life-cycle assessment, and traceability requirements can help ensure that natural ingredients are genuinely sustainable. However, overly complex

certification may exclude small producers and favor large corporations. Policy design should therefore balance rigor with accessibility, supporting transparent supply chains without imposing disproportionate burdens. The governance of frozen dough ingredients is thus connected to broader debates about food system resilience, environmental accountability, and distributive justice [19].

7. Sustainability, Fairness, and Policy Implications

Sustainability considerations are central to the evaluation of natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism. Synthetic cryoprotectants and antioxidants may have lower cost and consistent quality, but they can raise concerns about environmental persistence, consumer acceptance, and dependence on petrochemical feedstocks. Natural alternatives may offer biodegradability and renewable sourcing, but their production can require energy, water, solvents, and land. A full life-cycle perspective is necessary. For example, extracting polyphenols from fruit processing residues may valorize waste, but the extraction process itself may be energy-intensive. Encapsulation or purification steps can further increase environmental impact. The net sustainability benefit depends on the entire supply chain, not simply on the origin of the additive.

Fairness is equally important. Frozen dough systems are embedded in global food supply chains that differ in infrastructure, income, and regulatory capacity. Innovations that improve structural stability could reduce food waste and improve product quality, but if they are protected by patents or priced for premium markets, they may widen disparities. Public research funding, open innovation, and technology transfer mechanisms can help ensure that beneficial formulations reach diverse producers and consumers. Inclusive design should consider affordability, local ingredient availability, and cultural food preferences. A cryoprotectant system based on imported specialty ingredients may be less appropriate for low-resource settings than one based on regional crops or by-products. Policy can support inclusive innovation through grants, standards, and extension services.

Policy implications extend to cold-chain investment and energy transitions. Because frozen dough depends on refrigeration, its environmental footprint is tied to electricity sources and equipment efficiency. Improving formulation robustness may allow higher storage temperatures or shorter freezing cycles, reducing energy demand. However, such changes must be validated for food safety and quality. Governments and industry associations can incentivize energy-efficient refrigeration, renewable power, and smart logistics. They can also support research on phase-change materials, improved insulation, and low-temperature tolerance. The integration of material innovation with infrastructure policy is essential for sustainable frozen food systems.

8. Future Research and Translation Pathways

Future research should move beyond single-additive experiments toward factorial designs that systematically vary antioxidant type, cryoprotectant type, concentration, and processing conditions. Such designs can reveal synergistic, additive, and antagonistic interactions across relevant quality attributes. Mechanistic studies should combine biochemical assays with structural and rheological measurements to link molecular events to macroscopic performance. Computational modeling and machine learning could help predict optimal combinations, but models must be trained on diverse datasets that include different flours, freezing regimes, and storage histories. Data sharing and standardized reporting will accelerate progress.

Translation pathways must also address scale-up, regulatory approval, and consumer acceptance. Pilot-scale trials in commercial bakeries are necessary to evaluate how synergistic formulations behave under realistic shear, temperature, and logistical conditions. Sensory panels and consumer studies can identify flavor, texture, and labeling issues early in development. Collaboration among ingredient suppliers, equipment manufacturers, bakeries, retailers, and regulators can align technical and institutional requirements. Open innovation platforms and precompetitive research consortia may reduce duplication and accelerate adoption.

Finally, future work should examine the resilience of antioxidant–cryoprotectant systems under climate and energy uncertainty. As extreme weather affects agricultural supply, ingredient availability may fluctuate. Formulations should be robust to variations in raw material quality. Circular economy approaches, such as using fruit and vegetable processing residues, may provide flexible sources of natural antioxidants. However, quality control and safety assessment must remain rigorous. The long-term goal is not merely to improve frozen dough structure but to contribute to a more sustainable, equitable, and resilient food system.

9. Conclusion

Natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism offers a promising route to improving the structural stability of frozen wheat dough by simultaneously addressing oxidative stress and ice-induced damage. The molecular and mesoscale evidence suggests that carefully selected natural compounds can preserve gluten networks, modulate water mobility, protect yeast, and reduce oxidative deterioration during frozen storage. However, the success of this strategy depends on formulation architecture, processing conditions, cold-chain reliability, and governance. A system-level perspective reveals that additive synergism is not only a biochemical phenomenon but also an infrastructural and institutional one. Trade-offs between dough strength and extensibility, freeze tolerance and fermentation activity, clean-label appeal and functional reliability, and cost and sustainability must be managed deliberately.

The paper argues that evaluation frameworks should integrate rheological, thermal, oxidative, and baking metrics with life-cycle, fairness, and policy considerations. Cold-chain robustness and digital traceability can enhance deployment, but they must be accessible across diverse market contexts. Governance should support harmonized standards, transparent sourcing, and inclusive innovation. Future research should prioritize pilot-scale validation, mechanistic modeling, and participatory design with stakeholders across the food system. If pursued responsibly, natural antioxidant–cryoprotectant synergism can contribute not only to better frozen dough but also to more resilient and equitable food infrastructure.

References

1. Slade, L., & Levine, H. (1991). Beyond water activity: Recent advances based on an alternative approach to the assessment of food quality and safety. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 30(2-3), 115–360. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408399109527543>
2. Roos, Y. H. (1995). *Phase transitions in foods*. Academic Press.
3. Goff, H. D. (1992). Low-temperature stability and the glassy state in frozen foods. *Food Research International*, 25(4), 317–325. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0963-9969\(92\)90128-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0963-9969(92)90128-S)
4. Baier-Schenk, A., Handschin, S., von Schönau, M., Bittermann, A. G., Bächli, T., & Conde-Petit, B. (2005). In situ observation of the freezing process in wheat dough by confocal

- laser scanning microscopy (CLSM): Formation of ice and changes in the gluten network. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 42(2), 248–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2005.04.006>
5. Esselink, E. F. J., van Aalst, H., Maliepaard, M., & van der Linden, E. (2003). Long-term storage of frozen dough: Effects on dough rheology and bread quality. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 37(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcrs.2002.0477>
 6. Wang, P., Tao, H., Jin, Z., & Xu, X. (2016). Impact of water extractable arabinoxylan from rye bran on the frozen steamed bread dough quality. *Food Chemistry*, 200, 117–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.01.027>
 7. Ribotta, P. D., León, A. E., & Añón, M. C. (2001). Effect of freezing and frozen storage of doughs on bread quality. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49(2), 913–918. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000905w>
 8. Ribotta, P. D., Pérez, G. T., León, A. E., & Añón, M. C. (2004). Effect of emulsifier and guar gum on micro structural, rheological and baking performance of frozen bread dough. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 18(2), 305–313. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0268-005X\(03\)00086-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0268-005X(03)00086-9)
 9. Bhattacharya, M., Langstaff, T. M., & Berzonsky, W. A. (2003). Effect of frozen storage and freeze-thaw cycles on the rheological and baking properties of frozen doughs. *Food Research International*, 36(4), 365–372. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969\(02\)00228-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969(02)00228-4)
 10. Zhang, T., Fang, J. Q., Wang, P. P., & Chen, C. (2026). Structural basis of the cryoprotective sol-gel transition in a *Phyllanthus emblica* L. polysaccharide fraction for frozen dough applications. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 112842.
 11. Brewer, M. S. (2011). Natural antioxidants: Sources, compounds, mechanisms of action, and potential applications. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 10(4), 221–247. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-4337.2011.00156.x>
 12. Shahidi, F., & Ambigaipalan, P. (2015). Phenolics and polyphenolics in foods, beverages and spices: Antioxidant activity and health effects – A review. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 18, 820–897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2015.06.018>
 13. Carocho, M., & Ferreira, I. C. F. R. (2013). A review on antioxidants, prooxidants and related controversy: Natural and synthetic compounds, screening and analysis methodologies and future perspectives. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 51, 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.09.021>
 14. Pokorný, J. (2007). Are natural antioxidants better – and safer – than synthetic antioxidants? *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 109(6), 629–642. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.200700056>
 15. Fuller, B. J. (2004). Cryoprotectants: The essential antifreezes to protect life in the frozen state. *Cryo Letters*, 25(6), 375–388.
 16. Meryman, H. T. (1971). Cryoprotective agents. *Cryobiology*, 8(2), 173–183. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-2240\(71\)90024-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-2240(71)90024-1)
 17. Crowe, J. H., Carpenter, J. F., & Crowe, L. M. (1998). The role of vitrification in anhydrobiosis. *Annual Review of Physiology*, 60, 73–103. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.60.1.73>

18. Star, S. L., & Ruhleder, K. (1996). Steps toward an ecology of infrastructure: Design and access for large information spaces. *Information Systems Research*, 7(1), 111–134. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.7.1.111>
19. Geels, F. W. (2004). From sectoral systems of innovation to socio-technical systems: Insights about dynamics and change from sociology and institutional theory. *Research Policy*, 33(6-7), 897–920. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2004.01.015>
20. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.